

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/752,160 | 12/29/2000 | Merle L. Miller | 2069,008400 | 8960 |
| 23720 WILLIAMS N | 7590 09/10/2008 MORGAN & AMERSON | EXAMINER | | |
| 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 | | | JAMAL, ALEXANDER | |
| HOUSTON, T | X 7/042 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2614 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/10/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 09/752,160 | MILLER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| ALEXANDER JAMAL | 2614 | |

| | ALEXANDER JAMAL | 2614 | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time | | | | | | | | |
| periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing | s data of the final rejection | | | | | | | |
| | | in the final rejection, whi | chavarie latar In | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 36(a) and the appropriat | e extension fee | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 3°CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Oftice action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 3° CFR 1.70(4). | | | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | 11 | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | | |
| ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: new limitation in claims. (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | cted claims. | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | • | | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | t before or on the date of filing a Nic | tion of Annual will not | be entered | | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu arguments directed to amendment not entered. | | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | /Alexander Jamal/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2614 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |